

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/842,219 04/26/2001		Shunpei Yamazaki	12732-032001 / US4867	5375 .	
26171 75	08/17/2005		EXAMINER		
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. P.O. BOX 1022			HENNING, MATTHEW T		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/842,219	YAMAZAKI ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Matthew T. Henning	2131			

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Matthew T. Henning	2131					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month partner bearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS 3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further complete (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowappeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: The amendment to claim 51 would require business method containing storing the reference not previously been presented. (See 37 CFR 1.11 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11 4. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(see the non-allowable claim(see the non-allowable claim(see the non-allowable claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the status of the claim(see the non-allowable claim (see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the status of the claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the status of the claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the status of the claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the status of the claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the status of the claim(see the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims would be rejected in the new or amended claims. Claim(see Note: Note	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); Itter form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally recorresponding data in the particular come of and 41.33(a)). 121. See attached Notice of Non-Company in the submitted in a separate will not be entered, or b) will not be entered, or b) will not be entered.	educing or simplifying ejected claims. particular combination of claim 51 and compliant Amendments, timely filed amendments.	the issues for on of the as amended had t (PTOL-324). hent canceling				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on or the status of the claims after	entry is below or aπa	onea.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.		mmy Exmoner	-				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

HC

Application No.
Part of Paper No. 20050809

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 8/4/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues primarily that Li did not disclose storing at least one reference biological information of the client. As stated on page 10 Lines 13-15 of the communication filed 8/4/2005, Li disclosed receiving a fingerprint-based token from a central authentication system for comparison with the generated token. This received token is what the examiner is equating as the "reference biological information". As stated in the final office action dated 5/5/2005 in Paragraph 30, Li disclosed storing all information necessary to the operation of the Fingerprint Capturing Module (FCM) in the memory unit (See Li Col. 12 Lines 20-27) and that the FCM performed the comparison between the captured token (read biological information) and the received reference token (reference biological information) (See Li Col. 10 Lines 57-65). Therefore, the FCM needed the reference token to operate the comparison, and therefore must have stored the reference token in the memory unit, at least temporarily. As such, the argument is not persuasive. Simply because the reference token was stored remotely does not indicate that the reference was not stored locally as well, as has been shown above.